



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/844,822		04/26/2001	William P. Apps	RPC 0572 PUS	RPC 0572 PUS 4123  EXAMINER	
33171	7590	02/11/2005		EXAM		
KONSTA 4010 E. 267		DIAMOND				
LOS ANGELES, CA 90023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Stephen J. Castellano --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address- The Appeal Brief filed on 05 November 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR

		Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence	address			
The Ap 41.37.	peal Brief filed on <u>05 November 2004</u> is defectiv	ve for failure to comply with	one or more provision	s of 37 CFR			
MONT	d dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a of the or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this D MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.						
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or th	e items are not under	the proper			
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CF 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an app	pendix thereto (37 CF	R			
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the eviden other evidence entered by the examiner and resetting forth where in the record that evidence CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	lied upon by appellant in the	e appeal, along with a	statement			
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):					
	Item 4: Brief doesn't contain a concise explanation to the specification by page and line number and to independent claims in the last paragraph of page 3, number and the references to the drawings are very	the drawings by reference cha there are no references to the	racter. Appellant starts specification by page ar	referring to			
Item 6:	The obviousness rejection of Apps in view of Kelley, heading in the argument section but no argument is are argued under the same heading when five separate	presented thereunder. Also, a	ill of the double patentin				

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727